Novelty Assessment Report

Paper: Dimension-Free Decision Calibration for Nonlinear Loss Functions
PDF URL: https://openreview.net/pdf?id=vAU1fo1zRV
Venue: ICLR 2026 Conference Submission
Year: 2026
Report Generated: 2025-12-29

Abstract

When model predictions inform downstream decisions, a natural question is under what conditions can the decision-makers simply respond to the predictions as if they were the true outcomes. The recently proposed notion of decision calibration addresses this by requiring predictions to be unbiased conditional on the best-response actions induced by the predictions. This relaxation of classical calibration avoids the exponential sample complexity in high-dimensional outcome spaces. However, existing guarantees are limited to linear losses. A natural strategy for nonlinear losses is to embed outcomes Y into an M-dimensional feature space $\phi(y)$ and approximate losses linearly in $\phi(y)$. Yet even simple nonlinear functions can demand exponentially large or infinite feature dimensions, raising the open question of whether decision calibration can be achieved with complexity independent of the feature dimension M. We begin with a negative result: even verifying decision calibration under standard deterministic best response inherently requires sample complexity polynomial in M. To overcome this barrier, we study a smooth variant where agents follow quantal responses. This smooth relaxation admits dimension-free algorithms: given $\mathrm{poly}(|\mathcal{A}|,1/\epsilon)$ samples and any initial predictor P, our introducded algorithm efficiently test and achieve decision calibration for broad function classes which can be well-approximated by bounded-norm functions in (possibly infinite-dimensional) separable RKHS, including piecewise linear and Cobb–Douglas loss functions.

Core Task Landscape

This paper addresses: **Decision Calibration for Nonlinear Loss Functions**

A total of **48 papers** were analyzed and organized into a taxonomy with **13 categories**.

Taxonomy Overview

The research landscape has been organized into the following main categories:

- **Theoretical Foundations and Algorithmic Frameworks**
- **Applied Calibration Methods and Optimization**
- **Domain Applications and Specialized Calibration**

Complete Taxonomy Tree

- Decision Calibration for Nonlinear Loss Functions Survey Taxonomy
- Theoretical Foundations and Algorithmic Frameworks
  - Decision Calibration Theory for Nonlinear Losses ★ (3 papers)
  - [0] Dimension-Free Decision Calibration for Nonlinear Loss Functions (Anon et al., 2026) View paper
  - [30] Loss-calibrated expectation propagation for approximate Bayesian decision-making (Morais, 2022) View paper
  - [44] Calibration for Decision Making via Empirical Risk Minimization (TA Ho, n.d.) View paper
  - Loss-Calibrated Inference and Surrogate Loss Design (3 papers)
  - [10] Calibrated surrogate losses for adversarially robust classification (Bao Han, 2020) View paper
  - [15] Loss-calibrated approximate inference in Bayesian neural networks (Cobb, 2018) View paper
  - [27] Loss-Controlling Calibration for Predictive Models (Wang DI, 2023) View paper
  - Uncertainty Quantification and Prediction Intervals (3 papers)
  - [4] Beyond pinball loss: Quantile methods for calibrated uncertainty quantification (Chung, 2021) View paper
  - [9] Cost-oriented prediction intervals: On bridging the gap between forecasting and decision (Changfei Zhao, 2021) View paper
  - [40] Decision-Driven Calibration for Cost-Sensitive Uncertainty Quantification (G Canal, n.d.) View paper
- Applied Calibration Methods and Optimization
  - Confidence Calibration for Neural Networks (3 papers)
  - [3] Dynamically weighted balanced loss: class imbalanced learning and confidence calibration of deep neural networks (K. Ruwani M. Fernando, 2021) View paper
  - [19] Calibrating Deep Neural Networks using Focal Loss (Mukhoti, 2022) View paper
  - [35] Calibrating the Dice Loss to Handle Neural Network Overconfidence for Biomedical Image Segmentation. (Michael Yeung, 2023) View paper
  - Cost-Aware Classification and Decision-Making (3 papers)
  - [13] Cost-Aware Calibration of Classifiers (Mochen Yang, 2025) View paper
  - [22] Deep bioinspired evolutionary stacking algorithm for unpaired multimodal cell classification calibration (Li-li Zhao, 2025) View paper
  - [34] The impact of calibration error in medical decision making (MP Gallaher, 2004) View paper
  - Bayesian and Stochastic Model Calibration (4 papers)
  - [1] Bayesian Optimization Methods for Nonlinear Model Calibration (Montana N. Carlozo, 2025) View paper
  - [6] Adjoint-based calibration of nonlinear stochastic differential equations (Jan Bartsch, 2023) View paper

  - [20] Dynamic Bayesian Nonlinear Calibration (Derick L. Rivers, 2022) View paper
  - [31] A Bayesian method with nonlinear noise model to calibrate constitutive parameters of soft tissue. (Peng Wang, 2023) View paper
  - Nonlinear Optimization and Parameter Estimation (3 papers)
  - [8] A practical guide for conducting calibration and decision-making optimisation with complex ecological models (StÃ©phanie MahÃ©vas, 2019) View paper
  - [11] Large-scale cost function learning for path planning using deep inverse reinforcement learning (Markus Wulfmeier, 2017) View paper
  - [12] Calibrating optimal modeling error in nonlinear dynamics. (Philippe Bisaillon, 2021) View paper
- Domain Applications and Specialized Calibration
  - Economic and Supply Chain Decision Models (5 papers)
  - [2] A multi-phase energy and emission model for sustainable electric vehicle battery production with green investment and cap-and-trade (Prabal Das, 2025) View paper
  - [5] The nonlinear model of intersectoral linkages of Kazakhstan for macroeconomic decision-making processes in sustainable supply chain management (Seyit Kerimkhulle, 2022) View paper
  - [23] Optimization of Logistics Distribution Centers Based on Economic Efficiency and Sustainability: Data Support from the Hohhotâ□□Baotouâ□□Ordosâ□□Ulanqab Urban â□¦ (K Wang, 2025) View paper
  - [33] Application of AHP and Taguchi loss functions in supply chain (Sharon M. Ordoobadi, 2010) View paper
  - [47] Survey of Conventional Optimization Methods for Economic Dispatch and Unit Commitment (Bukunmi Odunlami, n.d.) View paper
  - Agricultural and Environmental Modeling (3 papers)
  - [25] Using multi-objective calibration techniques to assess impacts of climate change at farm level (A Kanellopoulos, 2015) View paper
  - [36] Calibration of agricultural risk programming models (Petsakos Athanasios, 2015) View paper
  - [37] Rainfall-runoff study for Singapore river catchment (CD Doan, 2012) View paper
  - Sensor and Hardware Calibration (9 papers)
  - [7] A generic foreground calibration algorithm for ADCs with nonlinear impairments (Armia Salib, 2018) View paper
  - [17] A method for simultaneously calibrating projectors and correcting nonlinearities (Geng Huang, 2023) View paper
  - [18] Unconstrained Bezier Calibration Method for Nonlinear Measurement Calibration Applications: A Comparison Study (Hongliang Hua, 2023) View paper
  - [24] Mathematical Model for Automatic Calibration of Nonlinear Sensors (Petar Panayotov, 2023) View paper
  - [38] Calibration of a detector for nonlinear responses. (Leonid Asnin, 2005) View paper
  - [39] A Nonlinear Calibration Algorithm Based on Harmonic Decomposition for Two-Axis Fluxgate Sensors. (W. Feng, 2018) View paper
  - [42] Nonlinear Flow Sensor Calibration with an Accurate Syringe. (Paolo Jose Cesare Biselli, 2019) View paper
  - [45] A nonlinear regularization strategy for GRAPPA calibration. (M. Bydder, 2009) View paper
  - [46] Distributed Sensor Network Calibration Under Sensor Nonlinearities with Applications in Aerodynamic Pressure Sensing. (S. Stankovic, n.d.) View paper
  - Behavioral and Cognitive Decision-Making (5 papers)
  - [14] Sticks or carrots? Optimal CEO compensation when managers are loss averse (Maug, 2010) View paper
  - [16] What makes a reach movement effortful? Physical effort discounting supports common minimization principles in decision making and motor control (P. Morel, 2017) View paper
  - [28] The use of nonlinear, noncompensatory models in decision making. (Hillel J. Einhorn, 1970) View paper
  - [32] Effects of feedback complexity on dynamic decision making (E. Diehl, 1995) View paper
  - [43] Heterogeneous Preference and Local Nonlinearity in Consensus Decision Making. (Andrew T. Hartnett, 2016) View paper
  - Engineering and Structural Analysis (3 papers)
  - [21] Field-Calibrated Nonlinear Finite Element Diagnosis of Localized Stern Damage from Tugboat Collision: A Measurement-Driven Forensic Approach (Myung-Su Yi, 2025) View paper
  - [26] A high-precision calibration method for stereo vision system (Chuan Zhou, 2011) View paper
  - [48] MULTI-PERIOD PORTFOLIO STRATEGIES USING QUADRATIC COST FUNCTION TO ACCOUNT FOR ADJUSTMENT COSTS (INTERNATIONAL) (Ahmet Soylemezoglu, 1987) View paper
  - Specialized Calibration Techniques (2 papers)
  - [29] The Visual Analysis of Three-Way Decision Based on Decision-Theoretic Rough Set: A Perspective of Fusing Two-Way Decision Pair (Jing Tu, 2024) View paper
  - [41] A uniform nonlinearity criterion for rational functions applied to calibration curve and standard addition methods. (Anna Maria MichaÅ□owska-Kaczmarczyk, 2015) View paper

Narrative

Core task: decision calibration for nonlinear loss functions. This field addresses how to adjust predictive models or decision rules so that their outputs align well with downstream objectives characterized by nonlinear, often asymmetric, loss structures. The taxonomy organizes the literature into three main branches. Theoretical Foundations and Algorithmic Frameworks explore the mathematical underpinnings of calibration under general loss functions, including dimension-free guarantees and connections to empirical risk minimization. Applied Calibration Methods and Optimization focus on practical algorithms—ranging from Bayesian optimization approaches (Bayesian Optimization Calibration[1]) to specialized techniques for balancing losses (Dynamically Weighted Balanced Loss[3]) and handling quantile or cost-sensitive objectives (Beyond Pinball Loss[4], Cost-Aware Calibration[13]). Domain Applications and Specialized Calibration encompass diverse real-world settings, from ecological model tuning (Ecological Model Calibration Guide[8]) to sensor and hardware calibration (ADC Foreground Calibration[7], Automatic Sensor Calibration[24]), illustrating how nonlinear calibration problems arise across disciplines.

A particularly active line of work examines how to achieve calibration guarantees that scale gracefully with problem complexity, balancing theoretical rigor with computational feasibility. Loss-calibrated methods (Loss-calibrated Bayesian Inference[15], Loss-calibrated Expectation Propagation[30]) integrate the loss structure directly into inference, while recent efforts explore calibration via empirical risk minimization (Calibration via ERM[44]) and decision-driven frameworks (Decision-Driven Calibration[40]). Within this landscape, Dimension-Free Decision Calibration[0] sits squarely in the theoretical branch, emphasizing scalability by removing dependence on ambient dimensionality—a contrast to earlier approaches that may suffer curse-of-dimensionality issues. Its focus on dimension-free guarantees distinguishes it from neighboring works like Loss-calibrated Expectation Propagation[30], which prioritizes approximate inference efficiency, and Calibration via ERM[44], which centers on empirical risk bounds without explicit dimension-free claims. Together, these contributions highlight ongoing tensions between generality, computational cost, and the tightness of calibration guarantees under complex nonlinear losses.

Related Works in Same Category

The following **2 sibling papers** share the same taxonomy leaf node with the original paper:

1. Loss-calibrated expectation propagation for approximate Bayesian decision-making

Authors: Morais, Michael J., Michael J. Morais, Pillow, Jonathan W., et al. (6 authors total) | **Year/Venue**: 2022 | **URL**: View paper

Abstract

Approximate Bayesian inference methods provide a powerful suite of tools for finding approximations to intractable posterior distributions. However, machine learning applications typically involve selecting actions, which -- in a Bayesian setting -- depend on the posterior distribution only via its contribution to expected utility. A growing body of work on loss-calibrated approximate inference methods has therefore sought to develop posterior approximations sensitive to the influence of the uti...

Relationship Analysis

Both papers belong to the Decision Calibration Theory for Nonlinear Losses category, addressing theoretical and algorithmic aspects of calibration under nonlinear objectives. The original paper focuses on dimension-free decision calibration algorithms for nonlinear loss functions using feature expansions and smooth quantal response models, establishing sample complexity bounds independent of feature dimension. The candidate paper addresses loss-calibrated expectation propagation for Bayesian decision-making with asymmetric utility functions in Gaussian process classification, focusing on approximate inference methods that incorporate utility functions into the inference procedure rather than post-processing predictors for calibration guarantees.

---

2. Calibration for Decision Making via Empirical Risk Minimization

Authors: TA Ho, J Matas, A Shekhovtsov | **URL**: View paper

Abstract

â¦ calibration can be understood as (L,Q)-decision calibration for all possible loss functions and decision â¦ which has discrete decision of the inner problem and a non-linear dependence on â¦

Relationship Analysis

Both papers belong to the Decision Calibration Theory for Nonlinear Losses category, addressing theoretical and algorithmic aspects of calibration for decision-making. The original paper focuses on dimension-free decision calibration for nonlinear losses using feature expansions in RKHS with smooth quantal response models, while the candidate paper addresses task-specific calibration for statistical decision making with known cost matrices via empirical risk minimization. The key difference is that the original paper tackles the curse of dimensionality in feature spaces for general nonlinear losses, whereas the candidate paper focuses on calibrating predictions for specific decision tasks with fixed cost matrices using direct loss minimization methods.

Contributions Analysis

Overall novelty summary. The paper addresses decision calibration for nonlinear loss functions, introducing dimension-free algorithms under smooth (quantal) best response. It resides in the 'Decision Calibration Theory for Nonlinear Losses' leaf, which contains only three papers total. This leaf sits within the broader 'Theoretical Foundations and Algorithmic Frameworks' branch, indicating a relatively sparse research direction focused on theoretical guarantees rather than applied methods. The small sibling set suggests this is an emerging area with limited prior theoretical work on dimension-free calibration under nonlinear objectives.

The taxonomy reveals neighboring leaves addressing related but distinct concerns: 'Loss-Calibrated Inference and Surrogate Loss Design' focuses on incorporating task-specific utilities into inference (three papers), while 'Uncertainty Quantification and Prediction Intervals' targets quantile estimation without explicit decision costs (three papers). The paper's theoretical emphasis contrasts with the larger 'Applied Calibration Methods' branch (thirteen papers across four leaves), which prioritizes neural network calibration and Bayesian optimization. This positioning suggests the work bridges foundational theory and practical calibration challenges, occupying a niche between pure complexity analysis and domain-specific implementations.

Among fourteen candidates examined, none clearly refute the three main contributions. The lower bound result (two candidates examined, zero refutable) and the smooth-response auditing algorithm (two candidates, zero refutable) appear novel within the limited search scope. The patching algorithm (ten candidates examined, zero refutable) shows the strongest evidence of novelty, though the search scale is modest. The absence of refutable pairs across all contributions suggests either genuine novelty or that the top-fourteen semantic matches did not capture closely related prior work. The small candidate pool limits confidence in exhaustiveness.

Based on thirty candidates initially considered and fourteen examined in detail, the work appears to introduce fresh theoretical machinery for dimension-free calibration under quantal response. However, the limited search scope—particularly the small sibling set and modest candidate pool—means potentially relevant prior work in adjacent areas (e.g., empirical risk minimization, surrogate loss design) may not have been fully captured. The analysis covers top semantic matches but cannot rule out overlooked contributions in related theoretical frameworks.

This paper presents **3 main contributions**, each analyzed against relevant prior work:

Contribution 1: Lower bound for decision calibration under deterministic best response

Description: The authors prove that auditing decision calibration under the deterministic (hard-max) best response decision rule requires at least Omega(sqrt(m)) samples, where m is the feature dimension. This is the first lower bound established for decision calibration and motivates the adoption of smooth decision rules.

This contribution was assessed against **2 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

---

1. Deterministic Sampling of Expensive Posteriors Using Minimum Energy Designs

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Minimum Energy Designs[62] focuses on deterministic sampling methods for approximating posterior distributions in expensive MCMC settings, not on sample complexity lower bounds for auditing decision calibration under deterministic best response decision rules.

---

2. Active fairness auditing

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Active Fairness Auditing[61] focuses on auditing demographic parity of ML models through query-efficient algorithms, not on decision calibration or best response decision rules in prediction settings.

Contribution 2: Dimension-free auditing algorithm under smooth best response

Description: The authors develop a dimension-free auditing algorithm for decision calibration under quantal (smooth) responses. The algorithm can identify violations of decision calibration using only poly(|A|, 1/ε, β) samples, independent of the feature dimension m, by exploiting a carefully designed pseudometric that projects high-dimensional loss vectors into one-dimensional space.

This contribution was assessed against **2 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

1. A Novel Self-Normalized Bernstein-Like Dimension-Free Inequality and Regret Bounds for Generalized Kernelized Bandits

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Bernstein Dimension-Free Inequality[49] focuses on self-normalized concentration inequalities for generalized kernelized bandits with exponential family noise models, not on decision calibration testing under quantal response in RKHS.

2. Reliable Decision-Making Under Uncertainty Through The Lens of Statistics and Optimization

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Reliable Decision-Making[50] appears to focus on general statistical decision-making under uncertainty rather than the specific problem of decision calibration auditing under quantal response in RKHS. The limited context provided does not demonstrate prior work on the same technical contribution.

Contribution 3: Dimension-free patching algorithm for decision calibration

Description: The authors propose Algorithm 1 (DimFreeDeCal), which post-processes any initial predictor to achieve ε-decision calibration without degrading its mean square error. The algorithm applies to function classes representable or well-approximated by bounded-norm functions in RKHS and achieves sample complexity of O(1/ε^4), improving upon prior O(1/ε^6) bounds.

This contribution was assessed against **10 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

1. Comparison of prediction performance using statistical postprocessing methods

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Statistical Postprocessing Comparison[56] focuses on statistical post-processing methods for prediction performance (e.g., correcting biases, evaluating error metrics like RMSE), not on decision calibration algorithms or achieving ε-decision calibration without degrading mean square error in the context of downstream decision-making with nonlinear loss functions.

2. Comparison of probabilistic post-processing approaches for improving numerical weather prediction-based daily and weekly reference evapotranspiration forecasts

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Evapotranspiration Forecast Postprocessing[52] focuses on probabilistic post-processing methods (NGR, AKD, BMA) for improving weather prediction-based evapotranspiration forecasts, not on decision calibration algorithms for machine learning predictors with nonlinear loss functions.

3. Accurate, reliable, and high-resolution air quality predictions by improving the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service using a novel statistical post-processing â¦

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Copernicus Air Quality Improvement[51] focuses on post-processing air quality forecasts using ensemble model output statistics (EMOS) and spatial interpolation techniques. This is fundamentally different from the original paper's contribution on decision calibration algorithms for nonlinear loss functions in machine learning contexts.

4. Spatiotemporal Automatic Calibration of Infrastructure Lidar, Radar, and Camera with a Global Navigation Satellite System

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Spatiotemporal Infrastructure Calibration[59] addresses sensor calibration for intelligent transportation systems using GNSS positioning, not decision calibration or predictor post-processing for machine learning models.

5. Robust Decision Making with Partially Calibrated Forecasts

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Partially Calibrated Forecasts[53] focuses on robust decision-making under partial calibration guarantees (h-calibration) and derives minimax optimal decision rules, not on post-processing predictors to achieve decision calibration without degrading mean square error.

6. Toward post-processing ensemble forecasts based on hindcasts

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Hindcast Ensemble Postprocessing[60] focuses on meteorological ensemble forecasting and calibration of weather predictions using hindcasts. This is fundamentally different from the ORIGINAL paper's contribution on decision calibration for nonlinear loss functions in machine learning contexts with RKHS-based predictors.

7. Technical note: Accurate, reliable, and high-resolution air quality predictions by improving the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service using a novel statistical post-processing method

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Copernicus Statistical Postprocessing[55] focuses on post-processing air quality forecasts using ensemble model output statistics and spatial interpolation techniques. This is fundamentally different from the original paper's contribution of a dimension-free algorithm for achieving decision calibration in machine learning predictors with nonlinear loss functions.

8. Achieving Skilled and Reliable Daily Probabilistic Forecasts of Wind Power at Subseasonal-to-Seasonal Timescales over France

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Subseasonal Wind Power Forecasts[57] focuses on post-processing weather-to-power forecasts for renewable energy prediction at subseasonal timescales, not on decision calibration algorithms for nonlinear loss functions in machine learning contexts.

9. Calibration of medium-range weather forecasts

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Medium-range Weather Calibration[54] focuses on statistical postprocessing of weather forecasts to improve calibration and sharpness of predictive distributions. It does not address decision calibration for nonlinear loss functions or dimension-free algorithms in the context described by the original paper.

10. Advancing Calibration in Deep Learning: Theory, Methods, and Applications

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Advancing Deep Learning Calibration[58] is a doctoral dissertation focused on calibration in deep learning. The provided context only contains the title page and copyright information, with no technical content about decision calibration algorithms or post-processing methods for predictors.

Appendix: Text Similarity Detection

No high-similarity text segments were detected across any compared papers.

References

- [0] Dimension-Free Decision Calibration for Nonlinear Loss Functions View paper
- [1] Bayesian Optimization Methods for Nonlinear Model Calibration View paper
- [2] A multi-phase energy and emission model for sustainable electric vehicle battery production with green investment and cap-and-trade View paper
- [3] Dynamically weighted balanced loss: class imbalanced learning and confidence calibration of deep neural networks View paper
- [4] Beyond pinball loss: Quantile methods for calibrated uncertainty quantification View paper
- [5] The nonlinear model of intersectoral linkages of Kazakhstan for macroeconomic decision-making processes in sustainable supply chain management View paper
- [6] Adjoint-based calibration of nonlinear stochastic differential equations View paper
- [7] A generic foreground calibration algorithm for ADCs with nonlinear impairments View paper
- [8] A practical guide for conducting calibration and decision-making optimisation with complex ecological models View paper
- [9] Cost-oriented prediction intervals: On bridging the gap between forecasting and decision View paper
- [10] Calibrated surrogate losses for adversarially robust classification View paper
- [11] Large-scale cost function learning for path planning using deep inverse reinforcement learning View paper
- [12] Calibrating optimal modeling error in nonlinear dynamics. View paper
- [13] Cost-Aware Calibration of Classifiers View paper
- [14] Sticks or carrots? Optimal CEO compensation when managers are loss averse View paper
- [15] Loss-calibrated approximate inference in Bayesian neural networks View paper
- [16] What makes a reach movement effortful? Physical effort discounting supports common minimization principles in decision making and motor control View paper
- [17] A method for simultaneously calibrating projectors and correcting nonlinearities View paper
- [18] Unconstrained Bezier Calibration Method for Nonlinear Measurement Calibration Applications: A Comparison Study View paper
- [19] Calibrating Deep Neural Networks using Focal Loss View paper
- [20] Dynamic Bayesian Nonlinear Calibration View paper
- [21] Field-Calibrated Nonlinear Finite Element Diagnosis of Localized Stern Damage from Tugboat Collision: A Measurement-Driven Forensic Approach View paper
- [22] Deep bioinspired evolutionary stacking algorithm for unpaired multimodal cell classification calibration View paper
- [23] Optimization of Logistics Distribution Centers Based on Economic Efficiency and Sustainability: Data Support from the Hohhotâ Baotouâ Ordosâ Ulanqab Urban â¦ View paper
- [24] Mathematical Model for Automatic Calibration of Nonlinear Sensors View paper
- [25] Using multi-objective calibration techniques to assess impacts of climate change at farm level View paper
- [26] A high-precision calibration method for stereo vision system View paper
- [27] Loss-Controlling Calibration for Predictive Models View paper
- [28] The use of nonlinear, noncompensatory models in decision making. View paper
- [29] The Visual Analysis of Three-Way Decision Based on Decision-Theoretic Rough Set: A Perspective of Fusing Two-Way Decision Pair View paper
- [30] Loss-calibrated expectation propagation for approximate Bayesian decision-making View paper
- [31] A Bayesian method with nonlinear noise model to calibrate constitutive parameters of soft tissue. View paper
- [32] Effects of feedback complexity on dynamic decision making View paper
- [33] Application of AHP and Taguchi loss functions in supply chain View paper
- [34] The impact of calibration error in medical decision making View paper
- [35] Calibrating the Dice Loss to Handle Neural Network Overconfidence for Biomedical Image Segmentation. View paper
- [36] Calibration of agricultural risk programming models View paper
- [37] Rainfall-runoff study for Singapore river catchment View paper
- [38] Calibration of a detector for nonlinear responses. View paper

- [39] A Nonlinear Calibration Algorithm Based on Harmonic Decomposition for Two-Axis Fluxgate Sensors. View paper
- [40] Decision-Driven Calibration for Cost-Sensitive Uncertainty Quantification View paper
- [41] A uniform nonlinearity criterion for rational functions applied to calibration curve and standard addition methods. View paper
- [42] Nonlinear Flow Sensor Calibration with an Accurate Syringe. View paper
- [43] Heterogeneous Preference and Local Nonlinearity in Consensus Decision Making. View paper
- [44] Calibration for Decision Making via Empirical Risk Minimization View paper
- [45] A nonlinear regularization strategy for GRAPPA calibration. View paper
- [46] Distributed Sensor Network Calibration Under Sensor Nonlinearities with Applications in Aerodynamic Pressure Sensing. View paper
- [47] Survey of Conventional Optimization Methods for Economic Dispatch and Unit Commitment View paper
- [48] MULTI-PERIOD PORTFOLIO STRATEGIES USING QUADRATIC COST FUNCTION TO ACCOUNT FOR ADJUSTMENT COSTS (INTERNATIONAL) View paper
- [49] A Novel Self-Normalized Bernstein-Like Dimension-Free Inequality and Regret Bounds for Generalized Kernelized Bandits View paper
- [50] Reliable Decision-Making Under Uncertainty Through The Lens of Statistics and Optimization View paper
- [51] Accurate, reliable, and high-resolution air quality predictions by improving the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service using a novel statistical post-processing â¦ View paper
- [52] Comparison of probabilistic post-processing approaches for improving numerical weather prediction-based daily and weekly reference evapotranspiration forecasts View paper
- [53] Robust Decision Making with Partially Calibrated Forecasts View paper
- [54] Calibration of medium-range weather forecasts View paper
- [55] Technical note: Accurate, reliable, and high-resolution air quality predictions by improving the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service using a novel statistical post-processing method View paper
- [56] Comparison of prediction performance using statistical postprocessing methods View paper
- [57] Achieving Skilled and Reliable Daily Probabilistic Forecasts of Wind Power at Subseasonal-to-Seasonal Timescales over France View paper
- [58] Advancing Calibration in Deep Learning: Theory, Methods, and Applications View paper
- [59] Spatiotemporal Automatic Calibration of Infrastructure Lidar, Radar, and Camera with a Global Navigation Satellite System View paper
- [60] Toward post-processing ensemble forecasts based on hindcasts View paper
- [61] Active fairness auditing View paper
- [62] Deterministic Sampling of Expensive Posteriors Using Minimum Energy Designs View paper