Novelty Assessment Report

Paper: Einstein Fields: A Neural Perspective To Computational General Relativity

PDF URL: https://openreview.net/pdf?id=OsevyadodL

Venue: ICLR 2026 Conference Submission

Year: 2026

Report Generated: 2025-12-29

Abstract

We introduce Einstein Fields, a neural representation designed to compress computationally intensive four-dimensional numerical relativity simulations into compact implicit neural network weights. By modeling the metric, the core tensor field of general relativity, Einstein Fields enable the derivation of physical quantities via automatic differentiation. Unlike conventional neural fields (e.g., signed distance, occupancy, or radiance fields), Einstein Fields fall into the class of Neural Tensor Fields with the key difference that, when encoding the spacetime geometry into neural field representations, dynamics emerge naturally as a byproduct. Our novel implicit approach demonstrates remarkable potential, including continuum modeling of four-dimensional spacetime, mesh-agnosticity, storage efficiency, derivative accuracy, and ease of use. It achieves up to a $\mathtt{4,000}$-fold reduction in storage memory compared to discrete representations while retaining a numerical accuracy of five to seven decimal places. Moreover, in single precision, differentiation of the Einstein Fields-parameterized metric tensor is up to five orders of magnitude more accurate compared to naive finite differencing methods. We demonstrate these properties on several canonical test beds of general relativity and numerical relativity simulation data, while also releasing an open-source JAX-based library, taking the first steps to studying the potential of machine learning in numerical relativity.

Disclaimer

This report is **AI-GENERATED** using Large Language Models and WisPaper (a scholar search engine). It analyzes academic papers' tasks and contributions against retrieved prior work. While this system identifies **POTENTIAL** overlaps and novel directions, **ITS COVERAGE IS NOT EXHAUSTIVE AND JUDGMENTS ARE APPROXIMATE**. These results are intended to assist human reviewers and **SHOULD NOT** be relied upon as a definitive verdict on novelty.

Note that some papers exist in multiple, slightly different versions (e.g., with different titles or URLs). The system may retrieve several versions of the same underlying work. The current automated pipeline does not reliably align or distinguish these cases, so human reviewers will need to disambiguate them manually.

If you have any questions, please contact: mingzhang23@m.fudan.edu.cn

Core Task Landscape

This paper addresses: **Neural Compression of Four-Dimensional Numerical Relativity Simulations**

A total of **6 papers** were analyzed and organized into a taxonomy with **7 categories**.

Taxonomy Overview

The research landscape has been organized into the following main categories:

- **Neural Representation Methods for Spacetime Geometry**
- **Gravitational-Wave Inference and Surrogate Modeling**
- **Theoretical Geometric Perspectives on Neural Information Processing**

Complete Taxonomy Tree

- Neural Compression of Four-Dimensional Numerical Relativity Simulations Survey Taxonomy
- Neural Representation Methods for Spacetime Geometry
  - Implicit Neural Field Encoding of Metric Tensors ★ (1 papers)
  - [0] Einstein Fields: A Neural Perspective To Computational General Relativity (Anon et al., 2026) View paper
  - Geometric Transport and Spacetime Bridge Architectures (1 papers)
  - [6] Wormhole Transport: Toward the Bridge of Artificial Spacetime (Wang, n.d.) View paper
- Gravitational-Wave Inference and Surrogate Modeling
  - Reduced-Order Basis Neural Surrogates (1 papers)
  - [2] Reduced-order modeling with artificial neurons for gravitational-wave inference (A. J. Chua, 2019) View paper
  - Deep Learning Waveform Surrogates (1 papers)
  - [4] A Deep Learning Powered Numerical Relativity Surrogate for Binary Black Hole Waveforms (Theodoropoulos Anastasios, 2024) View paper
  - Bayesian Compressed Sensing with Machine Learning (1 papers)
  - [5] Gravitational wave estimation with bayesian compressed sensing and machine learning (Brown, 2016) View paper
- Theoretical Geometric Perspectives on Neural Information Processing
  - Differential Geometry of Neural Information Flow (1 papers)
  - [3] Differential Geometric View of Information Flow in Neural Nets (Suhas Sreehari, 2025) View paper
  - High-Dimensional Dynamics in Neural Architectures (1 papers)
  - [1] Dimensionality and dynamics for next-generation artificial neural networks (Ge Wang, 2025) View paper

Narrative

Core task: neural compression of four-dimensional numerical relativity simulations. The field structure reflects three complementary perspectives on representing and analyzing spacetime phenomena. The first branch, Neural Representation Methods for Spacetime Geometry, focuses on encoding metric tensors and geometric structures using implicit neural fields and coordinate-based networks, enabling compact storage of high-dimensional simulation data. The second branch, Gravitational-Wave Inference and Surrogate Modeling, emphasizes fast emulation of waveforms for parameter estimation and Bayesian inference, often leveraging reduced-order models or deep learning to accelerate computationally expensive simulations. The third branch, Theoretical Geometric Perspectives on Neural Information Processing, explores foundational connections between differential geometry and neural architectures, examining how curvature and manifold structure inform learning dynamics. Together, these branches span practical compression techniques, inference-oriented surrogates, and geometric theory.

Particularly active lines of work contrast direct neural encoding of spacetime fields with surrogate modeling for downstream inference tasks. Early efforts such as Neural Gravitational Waves[2] and Deep Learning Waveforms[4] demonstrated that neural networks could approximate waveform outputs, while Bayesian Compressed Sensing[5] explored probabilistic dimensionality reduction. More recent theoretical investigations like Differential Geometric Information[3] and Dimensionality Dynamics ANN[1] examine how geometric properties of data manifolds shape neural representations. Einstein Fields[0] sits within the implicit neural field encoding cluster, emphasizing coordinate-based compression of metric tensors rather than waveform surrogates. Compared to inference-focused approaches like Neural Gravitational Waves[2], it prioritizes faithful geometric reconstruction of the full four-dimensional spacetime, aligning more closely with representation-centric methods that treat the metric itself as the primary object of interest.

Related Works in Same Category

No sibling papers were found in the same taxonomy leaf. A taxonomy-subtopic-level comparison will be produced instead.

Taxonomy-Level Summary

Both subtopics address neural network approaches to representing spacetime geometry in numerical relativity contexts. The original leaf focuses on implicit neural representations (INRs) that encode metric tensors as continuous functions, enabling automatic differentiation for physical quantities. The sibling subtopic explores specialized architectures inspired by topological features of spacetime (wormholes, Einstein-Rosen bridges) to model connectivity and transport, incorporating metric solvers into the architecture design.

Similarities: - Both involve neural network representations of spacetime metrics - Both target four-dimensional numerical relativity simulations - Both distinguish themselves from traditional reduced-order or surrogate modeling approaches - Both leverage differentiable neural architectures for geometric quantities

Differences: - Original leaf uses implicit neural fields as general continuous function approximators for metrics; sibling uses specialized architectures inspired by specific spacetime topologies - Original leaf emphasizes automatic differentiation for deriving physical quantities from learned metrics; sibling emphasizes transport mechanisms and connectivity modeling - Original leaf appears agnostic to spacetime topology; sibling explicitly incorporates topological features (bridges, wormholes) into architecture design - Sibling subtopic integrates metric solvers as architectural components; original leaf treats the neural network itself as the metric representation

Suggested Search Directions: - Investigate whether geometric transport architectures can be combined with implicit neural field representations - Explore how topological priors (bridge structures) affect compression efficiency compared to topology-agnostic INRs - Examine whether automatic differentiation in INRs can be applied to extract physical quantities from transport-based architectures

Sibling Subtopics

- **Geometric Transport and Spacetime Bridge Architectures** (leaves: 1, papers: 1)
- Scope: Neural architectures modeling spacetime connectivity through wormhole-inspired transport mechanisms or Einstein-Rosen bridge analogs with metric solvers.
- Exclude: General differential geometric frameworks without specific transport mechanisms belong to theoretical geometric perspectives.

Contributions Analysis

Overall novelty summary. The paper introduces Einstein Fields, a neural tensor field representation for compressing four-dimensional numerical relativity simulations by encoding spacetime metric tensors into implicit neural network weights. According to the taxonomy, this work resides in the 'Implicit Neural Field Encoding of Metric Tensors' leaf under 'Neural Representation Methods for Spacetime Geometry'. Notably, this leaf contains only the original paper itself—no sibling papers are present—indicating this is a relatively sparse research direction within the surveyed literature. The taxonomy distinguishes this approach from gravitational-wave surrogate modeling, which focuses on waveform generation rather than direct metric representation.

The taxonomy reveals three main branches: neural representation methods, gravitational-wave inference, and theoretical geometric perspectives. Einstein Fields sits in the first branch, which emphasizes encoding geometric structures using coordinate-based networks. Neighboring leaves include 'Geometric Transport and Spacetime Bridge Architectures' (one paper on wormhole-inspired transport mechanisms) and the gravitational-wave inference branch containing reduced-order surrogates and deep learning waveform models. The taxonomy's scope notes clarify that Einstein Fields diverges from inference-focused approaches by prioritizing faithful geometric reconstruction of the full spacetime metric rather than downstream parameter estimation or waveform emulation tasks.

Among the three identified contributions, the literature search examined twenty-five candidates total. The core 'Einstein Fields' representation examined five candidates with zero refutations, suggesting limited direct prior work on neural tensor fields for metric encoding within the search scope. The automatic differentiation-based tensor calculus contribution examined ten candidates and found two refutable instances, indicating some overlap with existing geometric computation methods. The Sobolev training contribution examined ten candidates with no refutations. These statistics reflect a top-K semantic search plus citation expansion, not an exhaustive survey of all relevant literature.

Based on the limited search scope of twenty-five candidates, the work appears to occupy a relatively unexplored niche—direct neural encoding of spacetime metrics—distinct from the more populated gravitational-wave surrogate modeling direction. The taxonomy structure and sibling paper absence suggest this is an emerging research direction. However, the analysis acknowledges that automatic differentiation for geometric quantities has some precedent, and a broader literature search might reveal additional related efforts in computational differential geometry or physics-informed neural networks beyond the examined candidates.

This paper presents **3 main contributions**, each analyzed against relevant prior work:

Contribution 1: Einstein Fields: Neural Tensor Field Representation for Spacetime Geometry

Description: The authors propose Einstein Fields, a neural field framework that parametrizes the metric tensor field of general relativity using compact neural networks. This enables continuous, mesh-agnostic representation of four-dimensional spacetime geometry with storage compression factors up to 4000× while maintaining numerical accuracy of five to seven decimal places.

This contribution was assessed against **5 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

---

1. Accelerated respiratory-resolved 4D-MRI with separable spatio-temporal neural networks.

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

The candidate paper (Respiratory 4D MRI[21]) focuses on accelerated respiratory-resolved 4D-MRI using separable spatio-temporal neural networks for medical imaging. This is a completely different domain from the original paper's work on compressing four-dimensional numerical relativity simulations and spacetime metric tensor fields in general relativity. No technical overlap exists between medical MRI reconstruction and computational general relativity.

---

2. Real-time Photorealistic Dynamic Scene Representation and Rendering with 4D Gaussian Splatting

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

4D Gaussian Splatting[17] focuses on reconstructing dynamic 3D visual scenes from 2D images using 4D Gaussian primitives for rendering, not on compressing four-dimensional spacetime metric tensor fields from general relativity simulations.

3. Space-time representation in the brain. The cerebellum as a predictive space-time metric tensor

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Cerebellum Metric Tensor[19] discusses biological neural networks performing space-time metric functions in the brain, not computational neural field frameworks for compressing numerical relativity simulations. The domains are fundamentally different (neuroscience vs. computational physics).

4. What spacetime does

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

What Spacetime Does[18] is a philosophical paper about the interpretation of spacetime symmetries and dynamical symmetries in general relativity. It does not present neural network methods, compression techniques, or computational approaches to numerical relativity. The candidate focuses on conceptual foundations of spacetime theory, not on neural implicit representations for metric tensor fields.

5. Neural compression and neural density estimation for cosmological inference

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Neural Compression Cosmology[20] focuses on cosmological inference using neural density estimation and compression techniques for cosmological data, not on neural tensor field representations for four-dimensional spacetime metric tensors in general relativity simulations.

Contribution 2: Automatic Differentiation-Based Tensor Calculus for Differential Geometry

Description: The framework enables accurate computation of higher-order geometric quantities (Christoffel symbols, Riemann tensors, curvature invariants) through automatic differentiation of the neural field representation. This approach achieves up to five orders of magnitude improvement in derivative accuracy over finite-difference methods in single precision.

This contribution was assessed against **10 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

1. Application of information geometry methods in the development of nuclear structure models

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Information Geometry Nuclear[29] applies automatic differentiation to nuclear structure models and mentions computing Riemann curvature tensors, but focuses on nuclear physics applications rather than general computational frameworks for differential geometry or numerical relativity. The candidate's context is too sparse to establish prior work on the comprehensive tensor calculus framework claimed by the original paper.

2. FANTASY: User-friendly symplectic geodesic integrator for arbitrary metrics with automatic differentiation

URL: View paper

Prior Art Analysis

FANTASY[23] demonstrates that automatic differentiation for computing Christoffel symbols and other geometric quantities in differential geometry was already implemented and publicly available. The candidate paper explicitly describes using automatic differentiation to compute metric derivatives, Christoffel symbols, and other geometric quantities 'up to machine precision' without manual input, directly addressing the same computational problem. Both papers apply AD to tensor calculus in curved spacetimes, though FANTASY focuses on geodesic integration while the original paper focuses on neural field compression.

Evidence

Evidence 1 - **Rationale**: Both papers claim superior accuracy of automatic differentiation over finite difference methods for computing metric derivatives, with FANTASY achieving 'machine precision' accuracy. - **Original**: in single precision, differentiation of the einstein fields-parameterized metric tensor is up to five orders of magnitude more accurate compared to naive finite differencing methods - **Candidate**: fantasy efficiently computes derivatives up to machine precision using automatic differentiation

Evidence 2 - **Rationale**: FANTASY[23] already implemented automatic differentiation for computing Christoffel symbols and other geometric quantities from metrics, demonstrating this was not a novel contribution of the original paper. - **Original**: as smooth neural functions, einfields support continuous evaluation of higher-order geometric quantities such as christoffel symbols, riemann tensors, and curvature invariants via point-wise automatic differentiation - **Candidate**: fantasy efficiently computes derivatives up to machine precision using automatic differentiation, allowing the integration of geodesics in arbitrary space(times) without the need for the user to manually input christoffel symbols or any other metric derivatives

3. Adaptive 3D Reconstruction via Diffusion Priors and Forward Curvature-Matching Likelihood Updates

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Diffusion Priors Reconstruction[24] focuses on 3D point cloud reconstruction using forward automatic differentiation for curvature-based optimization in diffusion models, not on computing differential geometry quantities like Christoffel symbols or Riemann tensors for general relativity applications.

4. Differential Geometric View of Information Flow in Neural Nets

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Differential Geometric Information[3] focuses on information flow in neural networks using differential geometry concepts, not on computational methods for general relativity simulations or numerical accuracy improvements in computing geometric quantities.

5. Gradus.jl: spacetime-agnostic general relativistic ray-tracing for X-ray spectral modelling

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Gradus[26] uses automatic differentiation specifically for computing Christoffel symbols during geodesic integration in ray-tracing applications, not for general tensor calculus or achieving derivative accuracy improvements over finite-difference methods as claimed in the original contribution.

6. Deep learning Calabiâ Yau metrics

URL: View paper

Prior Art Analysis

Deep Learning Calabi-Yau[27] demonstrates that automatic differentiation was already being used to compute higher-order geometric quantities (Christoffel symbols, Riemann tensors, curvature invariants) from neural field representations prior to the original paper's submission. The candidate explicitly describes using automatic differentiation to derive the metric from the Kähler potential, compute Christoffel symbols, and construct Riemann curvature tensors. The candidate also discusses achieving high accuracy in these computations and provides detailed implementation of the differentiation chain for geometric objects, showing that this approach was established in the field before the original work.

Evidence

Evidence 1 - **Rationale**: Both papers describe using automatic differentiation to compute geometric quantities from potentials, with the candidate demonstrating this capability was already established. - **Original**: in single precision, differentiation of the einstein fields-parameterized metric tensor is up to five orders of magnitude more accurate compared to naive finite differencing methods. - **Candidate**: one could define a kähler potential of any form and derive functions describing the metric, its determinant, and the ricci curvature purely using automatic differentiation.

Evidence 2 - **Rationale**: The candidate explicitly describes using automatic differentiation for computing higher-order derivatives on manifolds, demonstrating this approach predates the original work. - **Original**: enhanced tensor differentiation.as smooth neural functions, einfields support continuous evaluation of higher-order geometric quantities such as christoffel symbols, riemann tensors, and curvature invariants via point-wise automatic differentiation - **Candidate**: given any function, defined programmatically by the composition of algebraic operations, automatic differentiation can produced a new function that defines its derivative up to numerical accuracy. this derivative function can in turn be composed into a new function which can, again, be automatically...

Evidence 3 - **Rationale**: Both papers discuss using automatic differentiation for computing derivatives in geometric contexts, with the candidate showing this was already an established technique. - **Original**: initial results suggest that this approach can outperform high-order finite-difference methods on uniform grids, with accuracy gains up to10 5 infloat32. - **Candidate**: automatic differentiation is still used to compute the holomorphic derivative (locally in each patch) of the sections sα(z), which would therefore allow them to be substituted by an arbitrary model. the most significant and most powerful use of automatic differentiation, however, appears for the tra...

7. Logâ density gradient covariance and automaticÂ metric tensors for Riemann manifold Monte Carlo methods

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Log Density Gradient[30] focuses on metric tensors for Riemann manifold Monte Carlo methods in Bayesian statistics, not on computing geometric quantities like Christoffel symbols and Riemann curvature tensors through automatic differentiation for general relativity applications.

8. Geometric flow regularization in latent spaces for smooth dynamics with the efficient variations of curvature

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Curvature Latent Regularization[25] focuses on geometric flow regularization in latent spaces for machine learning, not on computational general relativity or tensor calculus for numerical relativity simulations. The candidate explicitly states they 'develop a loss based on gaussian curvature using closed path circulation integration for surfaces, bypassing automatic differentiation of the christoffel symbols through use of stokes'theorem' - actively avoiding AD-based Christoffel symbol computation rather than improving it.

9. Mahakala: A Python-based Modular Ray-tracing and Radiative Transfer Algorithm for Curved Spacetimes

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Mahakala[22] uses automatic differentiation to compute Christoffel symbols from the metric for photon ray-tracing in curved spacetimes. However, it does not address the broader tensor calculus framework (Riemann tensors, curvature invariants) or claim novelty in derivative accuracy improvements that are central to the original contribution.

10. An efficient kernel product for automatic differentiation libraries, with applications to measure transport

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Kernel Product Differentiation[28] focuses on efficient kernel convolutions for measure transport in LDDMM shape analysis, not on computing Christoffel symbols and Riemann curvature tensors for general relativity applications. The candidate addresses automatic differentiation for kernel products in shape matching, while the original addresses tensor calculus for spacetime geometry.

Contribution 3: Sobolev Training with Higher-Order Derivative Supervision

Description: The authors introduce Sobolev training that explicitly incorporates supervision on metric Jacobian and Hessian components. This formulation rectifies irregularities in the metric field and improves the precision of point-wise Christoffel symbols and Riemann tensor queries by up to two orders of magnitude.

This contribution was assessed against **10 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

1. An approach to metric space-valued Sobolev maps via weak* derivatives

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Sobolev Weak Derivatives[12] addresses metric space-valued Sobolev maps through weak* derivatives in a pure mathematical framework, whereas the original contribution applies Sobolev training with Jacobian and Hessian supervision to neural fields for metric tensor reconstruction in numerical relativity—entirely different domains and methodologies.

2. Improving 3D-CINE tTV-regularized whole-heart MRI reconstruction

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

This candidate focuses on MRI reconstruction using temporal-total-variation regularization with motion compensation for cardiac imaging, not neural field training with Jacobian and Hessian supervision for metric field reconstruction in general relativity.

3. Gradient Flow in Shape Optimization

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Gradient Flow Optimization[14] focuses on shape optimization using gradient flows and Nash-Moser theory for parabolic equations. While it discusses Sobolev spaces extensively, it does not address neural network training with explicit Jacobian and Hessian supervision for metric field reconstruction as in the original paper.

4. Elastic shape analysis of surfaces with second-order sobolev metrics: a comprehensive numerical framework

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Elastic Shape Analysis[10] focuses on Sobolev metrics for elastic shape analysis of 3d surfaces, not on neural field training for metric tensor reconstruction in general relativity. The technical domains and applications are fundamentally different.

5. Constructing reparameterization invariant metrics on spaces of plane curves

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Reparameterization Invariant Metrics[15] focuses on constructing Sobolev metrics on spaces of plane curves for geometric analysis, not on neural network training with derivative supervision for metric field reconstruction in general relativity.

6. SobolevFusion: 3D Reconstruction of Scenes Undergoing Free Non-rigid Motion

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

SobolevFusion[13] applies Sobolev gradient flow to 3D reconstruction of non-rigid surfaces, not to metric field reconstruction in general relativity. The candidate focuses on warping truncated signed distance fields for geometric reconstruction, while the original paper addresses metric tensor field learning with Jacobian and Hessian supervision for numerical relativity applications.

7. On variational solutions for whole brain serial-section histology using a Sobolev prior in the computational anatomy random orbit model

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Sobolev Prior Histology[16] applies Sobolev smoothness priors to histology reconstruction, not to neural field training with explicit Jacobian/Hessian supervision for metric tensor differentiation.

8. Regularized reconstruction of a surface from its measured gradient field: algorithms for spectral, Tikhonov, constrained, and weighted regularization

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Gradient Field Reconstruction[11] focuses on surface reconstruction from measured gradient fields using regularization techniques. While it involves higher-order derivatives (Jacobian and Hessian) in the context of numerical differentiation and surface reconstruction, it does not address Sobolev training for neural networks or metric field reconstruction in general relativity contexts.

9. FlatCAD: Fast Curvature Regularization of Neural SDFs for CAD Models

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

FlatCAD[9] focuses on curvature regularization for CAD models using Weingarten losses and Hessian-vector products, not on Sobolev training for metric field reconstruction in general relativity contexts.

10. Metric Sobolev spaces II: dual energies and divergence measures

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Metric Sobolev Dual[7] focuses on mathematical foundations of Sobolev spaces on metric measure spaces, studying vector fields, gradients, divergence measures, and Laplacian measures in non-smooth settings. The original paper applies Sobolev training (derivative supervision) to neural network optimization for metric field reconstruction in numerical relativity. These are fundamentally different domains with no overlap in methodology or application.

Appendix: Text Similarity Detection

No high-similarity text segments were detected across any compared papers.

References

- [0] Einstein Fields: A Neural Perspective To Computational General Relativity View paper
- [1] Dimensionality and dynamics for next-generation artificial neural networks View paper
- [2] Reduced-order modeling with artificial neurons for gravitational-wave inference View paper
- [3] Differential Geometric View of Information Flow in Neural Nets View paper
- [4] A Deep Learning Powered Numerical Relativity Surrogate for Binary Black Hole Waveforms View paper
- [5] Gravitational wave estimation with bayesian compressed sensing and machine learning View paper
- [6] Wormhole Transport: Toward the Bridge of Artificial Spacetime View paper
- [7] Metric Sobolev spaces II: dual energies and divergence measures View paper
- [8] Improving 3D-CINE tTV-regularized whole-heart MRI reconstruction View paper
- [9] FlatCAD: Fast Curvature Regularization of Neural SDFs for CAD Models View paper
- [10] Elastic shape analysis of surfaces with second-order sobolev metrics: a comprehensive numerical framework View paper
- [11] Regularized reconstruction of a surface from its measured gradient field: algorithms for spectral, Tikhonov, constrained, and weighted regularization View paper
- [12] An approach to metric space-valued Sobolev maps via weak* derivatives View paper

- [13] SobolevFusion: 3D Reconstruction of Scenes Undergoing Free Non-rigid Motion View paper
- [14] Gradient Flow in Shape Optimization View paper
- [15] Constructing reparameterization invariant metrics on spaces of plane curves View paper
- [16] On variational solutions for whole brain serial-section histology using a Sobolev prior in the computational anatomy random orbit model View paper
- [17] Real-time Photorealistic Dynamic Scene Representation and Rendering with 4D Gaussian Splatting View paper
- [18] What spacetime does View paper
- [19] Space-time representation in the brain. The cerebellum as a predictive space-time metric tensor View paper
- [20] Neural compression and neural density estimation for cosmological inference View paper
- [21] Accelerated respiratory-resolved 4D-MRI with separable spatio-temporal neural networks. View paper
- [22] Mahakala: A Python-based Modular Ray-tracing and Radiative Transfer Algorithm for Curved Spacetimes View paper
- [23] FANTASY: User-friendly symplectic geodesic integrator for arbitrary metrics with automatic differentiation View paper
- [24] Adaptive 3D Reconstruction via Diffusion Priors and Forward Curvature-Matching Likelihood Updates View paper
- [25] Geometric flow regularization in latent spaces for smooth dynamics with the efficient variations of curvature View paper
- [26] Gradus.jl: spacetime-agnostic general relativistic ray-tracing for X-ray spectral modelling View paper
- [27] Deep learning Calabiâ□□Yau metrics View paper
- [28] An efficient kernel product for automatic differentiation libraries, with applications to measure transport View paper
- [29] Application of information geometry methods in the development of nuclear structure models View paper
- [30] Logâ□□density gradient covariance and automaticÂ metric tensors for Riemann manifold Monte Carlo methods View paper