Novelty Assessment Report

Paper: Robust Generalized Schr\"{o}dinger Bridge via Sparse Variational Gaussian Processes

PDF URL: https://openreview.net/pdf?id=3a2QuEzveq

Venue: ICLR 2026 Conference Submission

Year: 2026

Report Generated: 2025-12-29

Abstract

The famous Schr\"{o}dinger bridge (SB) has gained renewed attention in the generative machine learning field these days for its successful applications in various areas including unsupervised image-to-image translation and particle crowd modeling. Recently, a promising algorithm dubbed GSBM was proposed to solve the generalized SB (GSB) problem, an extension of SB to deal with additional path constraints. Therein the SB is formulated as a minimal kinetic energy conditional flow matching problem, and an additional task-specific stage cost is introduced as the conditional stochastic optimal control (CondSOC) problem. The GSB is a new emerging problem with considerable room for research contributions, and we introduce a novel Gaussian process pinned marginal path posterior inference as a meaningful contribution in this area. Our main motivation is that the stage cost in GSBM, typically representing task-specific obstacles in the particle paths and other congestion penalties, can be potentially noisy and uncertain. Whereas the current GSBM approach regards this stage cost as a noise-free deterministic quantity in the CondSOC optimization, we instead model it as a stochastic quantity. Specifically, we impose a Gaussian process (GP) prior on the pinned marginal path, view the CondSOC objective as a (noisy) likelihood function, and infer the posterior path via sparse variational free-energy GP approximate inference. The main benefit is more flexible marginal path modeling that takes into account the uncertainty in the stage cost such as more realistic noisy observations. On some image-to-image translation and crowd navigation problems under noisy scenarios, we show that our proposed GP-based method yields more robust solutions than the original GSBM.

Core Task Landscape

This paper addresses: **Generalized Schrödinger Bridge with Uncertain Stage Costs**

A total of **1 papers** were analyzed and organized into a taxonomy with **2 categories**.

Taxonomy Overview

The research landscape has been organized into the following main categories:

- **Deterministic Stage Cost Formulations**
- **Stochastic Stage Cost Formulations**

Complete Taxonomy Tree

- Generalized Schrödinger Bridge with Uncertain Stage Costs Survey Taxonomy
- Deterministic Stage Cost Formulations
  - Direct Marginal Matching Algorithms (1 papers)
  - [1] Generalized SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge Matching (Liu, 2023) View paper
- Stochastic Stage Cost Formulations
  - Gaussian Process-Based Posterior Inference ★ (1 papers)
  - [0] Robust Generalized Schr\"{o}dinger Bridge via Sparse Variational Gaussian Processes (Anon et al., 2026) View paper

Narrative

Core task: Generalized Schrödinger bridge with uncertain stage costs. The field addresses optimal transport problems where the cost structure governing state transitions is not fully known or varies stochastically. The taxonomy divides into two main branches: Deterministic Stage Cost Formulations, which assume fixed or known cost functions and focus on computational methods for solving the resulting bridge problems, and Stochastic Stage Cost Formulations, which explicitly model uncertainty in the cost structure through probabilistic frameworks. Works like Generalized Bridge Matching[1] illustrate how deterministic approaches can be extended to handle more flexible cost specifications, while the stochastic branch explores posterior inference techniques that account for distributional ambiguity in the stage costs themselves.

A central tension in this area concerns how to balance computational tractability with the realistic modeling of cost uncertainty. The deterministic branch tends to emphasize scalability and efficient numerical schemes, whereas the stochastic branch—particularly methods employing Gaussian Process-Based Posterior Inference—prioritizes principled uncertainty quantification at the expense of added complexity. Robust Schrödinger Bridge[0] sits squarely within the stochastic formulations, specifically leveraging Gaussian process priors to infer posterior distributions over uncertain costs. Compared to Generalized Bridge Matching[1], which operates under deterministic cost assumptions, Robust Schrödinger Bridge[0] introduces a probabilistic layer that enables robustness to cost misspecification, though this comes with heightened computational demands and the need for careful prior elicitation. This positioning reflects a broader trade-off between model fidelity and algorithmic efficiency that continues to shape research directions across both branches.

Related Works in Same Category

No sibling papers and no sibling subtopics were found under the same parent taxonomy node; the paper appears structurally isolated in the taxonomy.

Contributions Analysis

Overall novelty summary. The paper introduces a Gaussian process prior on pinned marginal paths for generalized Schrödinger bridge problems with uncertain stage costs. According to the taxonomy, it occupies a leaf node ('Gaussian Process-Based Posterior Inference') under the 'Stochastic Stage Cost Formulations' branch, with no sibling papers in that leaf. This positioning suggests the work addresses a relatively sparse research direction within the broader field. The taxonomy contains only two leaf nodes total, indicating the overall area of generalized Schrödinger bridges with uncertain costs is itself an emerging subfield with limited prior exploration.

The taxonomy reveals a clear structural divide between deterministic and stochastic stage cost formulations. The neighboring 'Deterministic Stage Cost Formulations' branch contains methods like direct marginal matching algorithms that treat costs as noise-free quantities. The paper's approach diverges fundamentally from this neighboring work by modeling stage costs probabilistically rather than deterministically. The taxonomy's scope notes explicitly delineate this boundary: deterministic methods assume fixed cost functions for computational efficiency, while the stochastic branch prioritizes uncertainty quantification through probabilistic frameworks, representing distinct methodological philosophies within the field.

Among the 26 candidate papers examined through semantic search and citation expansion, none were found to clearly refute any of the three main contributions. The first contribution (Gaussian process prior on pinned marginal paths) examined 6 candidates with 0 refutable matches. The second contribution (sparse variational free-energy GP inference) examined 10 candidates with 0 refutable matches, as did the third contribution (GP-GSBM algorithm). This limited search scope suggests that within the top-26 semantically similar papers, no substantial prior work directly overlaps with the specific combination of Gaussian process priors and uncertain stage costs in the Schrödinger bridge context.

Based on the top-26 semantic matches examined, the work appears to occupy a novel position at the intersection of stochastic optimal control and Gaussian process inference for generalized Schrödinger bridges. The analysis does not cover exhaustive literature review or domain-specific venues that might contain related uncertainty quantification methods. The sparse taxonomy structure and absence of sibling papers suggest this represents a relatively unexplored research direction, though the limited search scope means potentially relevant work in adjacent areas may not have been captured.

This paper presents **3 main contributions**, each analyzed against relevant prior work:

Contribution 1: Gaussian process prior on pinned marginal paths for generalized Schrödinger bridge

Description: The authors propose imposing a Gaussian process prior on the pinned marginal path in the generalized Schrödinger bridge problem, treating the conditional stochastic optimal control objective as a noisy likelihood function rather than a deterministic quantity. This enables more flexible marginal path modeling that accounts for uncertainty in the stage cost.

This contribution was assessed against **6 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

---

1. Exact Solutions to the Quantum SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge Problem

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Quantum Bridge Solutions[23] focuses on quantum Schrödinger bridge problems with quantum mechanics (Schrödinger equation, Bohm potential), not Gaussian process priors on marginal paths in classical generalized Schrödinger bridges. The candidate derives exact solutions for Gaussian distributions in quantum settings, while the original imposes GP priors for robust path inference under noisy stage costs in classical settings.

---

2. The SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge between Gaussian Measures has a Closed Form

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Gaussian Bridge Closed[26] focuses on closed-form solutions for Schrödinger bridges between Gaussian measures, establishing that solutions are Gaussian processes. The original paper imposes GP priors on pinned marginal paths for generalized SB with stage costs, treating the conditional stochastic optimal control objective as a noisy likelihood. These are distinct technical contributions addressing different aspects of the SB problem.

---

3. Exact Solutions to the Quantum Schr\" odinger Bridge Problem

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Quantum Bridge Solutions[25] addresses quantum Schrödinger bridge problems governed by the Schrödinger equation with Bohm potentials, fundamentally different from the original paper's Gaussian process prior approach for handling noisy stage costs in generalized Schrödinger bridges.

---

4. The LQR-Schr\" odinger Bridge

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

LQR Schrodinger Bridge[27] addresses a different problem formulation. It uses quadratic LQR costs with Kantorovich potentials propagated via Riccati equations, not Gaussian process priors on pinned marginal paths with variational inference as in the original paper.

---

5. Trajectory inference with smooth schr\" odinger bridges

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Smooth Schrodinger Bridges[22] focuses on trajectory inference with smooth Gaussian process priors for the reference process itself, not on pinned marginal paths in the generalized Schrödinger bridge framework with stage costs.

---

6. Solving schrÃ¶dinger bridges via maximum likelihood

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Maximum Likelihood Bridges[24] focuses on maximum likelihood estimation for standard Schrödinger bridge problems, not on imposing Gaussian process priors on pinned marginal paths in generalized Schrödinger bridge settings with stage costs.

Contribution 2: Sparse variational free-energy GP approximate inference for posterior path estimation

Description: The authors develop a sparse variational Gaussian process inference method adapted from Titsias (2009) to infer the posterior path measure. This approach uses inducing-point processes and variational free energy formulation to make the posterior inference tractable while handling uncertainty in the stage cost.

This contribution was assessed against **10 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

1. Fast post-process Bayesian inference with Variational Sparse Bayesian Quadrature

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Sparse Bayesian Quadrature[16] focuses on post-process Bayesian inference for black-box likelihoods using existing model evaluations, not on posterior path estimation for generalized Schrödinger bridge problems with stage costs.

2. Real-time unstable approach detection using sparse variational gaussian process

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Unstable Approach Detection[19] applies sparse variational GP to aircraft trajectory modeling for anomaly detection in aviation, not to posterior path estimation in generalized Schrödinger bridge problems. The technical domains and applications are fundamentally different.

3. A Gaussian variational inference approach to motion planning

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Gaussian Variational Motion[14] applies Gaussian variational inference to motion planning with trajectory distributions, not to generalized Schrödinger bridge problems with stage costs. The technical contexts differ fundamentally: motion planning optimization versus bridge matching with pinned marginal paths.

4. Variational inference for composite Gaussian process models

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Composite GP Models[18] focuses on general GP model composition and variational inference methods, not on path estimation for generalized Schrödinger bridge problems. The candidate addresses regression and time-series tasks, while the original applies sparse variational GP to a specific stochastic optimal control problem with stage costs.

5. Variational inference with parameter learning applied to vehicle trajectory estimation

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Vehicle Trajectory Estimation[17] applies sparse variational GP inference to vehicle trajectory estimation with parameter learning, not to posterior path measures in generalized Schrödinger bridge problems. The technical contexts are fundamentally different.

6. Stochastic variational inference for scalable non-stationary Gaussian process regression

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Scalable Nonstationary GP[15] applies sparse variational GP inference to non-stationary GP regression for spatial/temporal data, not to posterior path measures in stochastic bridge problems. The technical contexts are fundamentally different.

7. Identification of Gaussian process state space models

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

GP State Space[13] focuses on system identification in dynamical systems with latent state estimation, not on path estimation for generalized Schrödinger bridge problems with stage costs.

8. Incremental Sparse Gaussian Process-Based Model Predictive Control for Trajectory Tracking of Unmanned Underwater Vehicles

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Sparse GP Trajectory[12] applies sparse Gaussian processes to UUV trajectory tracking control, not to posterior path measure inference in generalized Schrödinger bridge problems. The technical domains and objectives are fundamentally different.

9. Efficiently Sampling Functions from Gaussian Process Posteriors

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Sampling GP Posteriors[20] focuses on efficiently sampling from GP posteriors for general modeling tasks, not on path estimation for generalized Schrödinger bridge problems with stage costs.

10. Linear Time GPs for Inferring Latent Trajectories from Neural Spike Trains

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Linear Time GPs[21] applies sparse variational GP inference to neural spike train analysis, not to generalized Schrödinger bridge problems with path constraints and stage costs as in the original paper.

Contribution 3: GP-GSBM algorithm for robust generalized Schrödinger bridge matching

Description: The authors introduce the GP-GSBM algorithm that integrates Gaussian process posterior inference into the generalized Schrödinger bridge matching framework. The algorithm alternates between solving the ELBO optimization for variational and model parameters and updating the neural network for the SDE drift function.

This contribution was assessed against **10 related papers** from the literature. Papers with potential prior art are analyzed in detail with textual evidence; others receive brief assessments.

1. Conditional Simulation Using Diffusion SchrÃ¶dinger Bridges

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Conditional Diffusion Bridges[6] focuses on extending Schrödinger bridge formulations to conditional simulation problems (e.g., image super-resolution, filtering), not on integrating Gaussian process posterior inference into generalized Schrödinger bridge matching with uncertainty quantification as proposed in the original paper.

2. Aligned Diffusion SchrÃ¶dinger Bridges

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Aligned Diffusion Bridges[8] addresses aligned data scenarios in Schrödinger bridge problems using Doob's h-transform, while the original paper focuses on Gaussian process posterior inference for handling uncertainty in stage costs within the GSBM framework. These are distinct technical approaches to different aspects of generalized Schrödinger bridges.

3. Variational Online Mirror Descent for Robust Learning in SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Variational Mirror Descent[5] focuses on online mirror descent optimization for Schrödinger bridge problems using Wasserstein-Fisher-Rao geometry, not Gaussian process posterior inference for handling uncertainty in stage costs as in the original paper's GP-GSBM.

4. On the relation between optimal transport and SchrÃ¶dinger bridges: A stochastic control viewpoint

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Optimal Transport Bridges[9] focuses on the theoretical relationship between optimal transport and Schrödinger bridges from a stochastic control perspective, without proposing algorithms for generalized Schrödinger bridge matching with Gaussian process posterior inference or uncertainty quantification.

5. CT-based brain ventricle segmentation via diffusion SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge without target domain ground truths

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Brain Ventricle Segmentation[10] focuses on medical image segmentation using diffusion Schrödinger bridge for domain adaptation between CT and MRI scans, not on developing algorithms for generalized Schrödinger bridge matching with uncertainty quantification through Gaussian process posterior inference.

6. Momentum Multi-Marginal Schr\" odinger Bridge Matching

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Momentum Multi-Marginal Bridge[3] addresses multi-marginal Schrödinger bridge problems with multiple time-indexed marginals in phase space, while the original paper focuses on two-marginal problems with Gaussian process posterior inference for handling uncertainty in stage costs. These are distinct problem formulations and algorithmic approaches.

7. Generalized Schr\" odinger Bridge Matching

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Generalized Bridge Matching[2] focuses on solving the generalized Schrödinger bridge problem through conditional stochastic optimal control with Gaussian path approximation and spline optimization, not on incorporating Gaussian process posterior inference for uncertainty handling as in the original paper's GP-GSBM.

8. Feedback schr\" odinger bridge matching

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Feedback Bridge Matching[4] addresses semi-supervised matching with partially aligned datasets, while GP-GSBM focuses on Gaussian process posterior inference for handling uncertainty in stage costs. These are distinct technical approaches to different aspects of bridge matching problems.

9. Deep generalized schrÃ¶dinger bridge

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Deep Generalized Bridge[7] focuses on mean-field game formulations using forward-backward SDEs, not on Gaussian process posterior inference for handling uncertainty in stage costs as proposed in the original paper's GP-GSBM algorithm.

10. Solution of the Probabilistic Lambert Problem: Connections with Optimal Mass Transport, Schr\" odinger Bridge and Reaction-Diffusion PDEs

URL: View paper

Brief Assessment

Probabilistic Lambert Problem[11] addresses orbital mechanics with endpoint probability density constraints, formulated as optimal mass transport and Schrödinger bridge problems. This differs fundamentally from GP-GSBM's focus on Gaussian process posterior inference for handling noisy stage costs in generalized Schrödinger bridge matching for image translation and crowd navigation tasks.

Appendix: Text Similarity Detection

Textual similarity detection checked 26 papers and found 3 similarity segment(s) across 2 paper(s).

The following **2 paper(s)** were detected to have high textual similarity with the original paper. These may represent different versions of the same work, duplicate submissions, or papers with substantial textual overlap. Readers are advised to verify these relationships independently.

1. Generalized Schr\" odinger Bridge Matching

Detected in: Contribution: contribution_3

⚠ **Note**: This paper shows substantial textual similarity with the original paper. It may be a different version, a duplicate submission, or contain significant overlapping content. Please review carefully to determine the nature of the relationship.

2. Aligned Diffusion SchrÃ¶dinger Bridges

Detected in: Contribution: contribution_3

⚠ **Note**: This paper shows substantial textual similarity with the original paper. It may be a different version, a duplicate submission, or contain significant overlapping content. Please review carefully to determine the nature of the relationship.

References

- [0] Robust Generalized Schr\"{o}dinger Bridge via Sparse Variational Gaussian Processes View paper
- [1] Generalized SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge Matching View paper
- [2] Generalized Schr\" odinger Bridge Matching View paper
- [3] Momentum Multi-Marginal Schr\" odinger Bridge Matching View paper
- [4] Feedback schr\" odinger bridge matching View paper
- [5] Variational Online Mirror Descent for Robust Learning in SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge View paper
- [6] Conditional Simulation Using Diffusion SchrÃ¶dinger Bridges View paper
- [7] Deep generalized schrÃ¶dinger bridge View paper
- [8] Aligned Diffusion SchrÃ¶dinger Bridges View paper
- [9] On the relation between optimal transport and SchrÃ¶dinger bridges: A stochastic control viewpoint View paper
- [10] CT-based brain ventricle segmentation via diffusion SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge without target domain ground truths View paper
- [11] Solution of the Probabilistic Lambert Problem: Connections with Optimal Mass Transport, Schr\" odinger Bridge and Reaction-Diffusion PDEs View paper
- [12] Incremental Sparse Gaussian Process-Based Model Predictive Control for Trajectory Tracking of Unmanned Underwater Vehicles View paper
- [13] Identification of Gaussian process state space models View paper
- [14] A Gaussian variational inference approach to motion planning View paper
- [15] Stochastic variational inference for scalable non-stationary Gaussian process regression View paper
- [16] Fast post-process Bayesian inference with Variational Sparse Bayesian Quadrature View paper
- [17] Variational inference with parameter learning applied to vehicle trajectory estimation View paper
- [18] Variational inference for composite Gaussian process models View paper
- [19] Real-time unstable approach detection using sparse variational gaussian process View paper
- [20] Efficiently Sampling Functions from Gaussian Process Posteriors View paper
- [21] Linear Time GPs for Inferring Latent Trajectories from Neural Spike Trains View paper
- [22] Trajectory inference with smooth schr\" odinger bridges View paper
- [23] Exact Solutions to the Quantum SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge Problem View paper
- [24] Solving schrÃ¶dinger bridges via maximum likelihood View paper
- [25] Exact Solutions to the Quantum Schr\" odinger Bridge Problem View paper
- [26] The SchrÃ¶dinger Bridge between Gaussian Measures has a Closed Form View paper
- [27] The LQR-Schr\" odinger Bridge View paper